CINY



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2021 WWW.HSPLO.GOV

				.)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,679	04/13/2001	Tetsuo Takeshima	36856.457	5620
*	90 12/09/2002		,	
Keating & Bennett LLP Suite 312			EXAMINER	
10400 Eaton Pla Fairfax, VA 22			BUDD, MARI	C OSBORNE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

•

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

State of the state			( \ <i>l</i>
Office Action Summary	Application No. 834 679	Applicant(s) Takeshima	
	834 679 Examiner M. Bu)	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaution for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statements.</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minin	num of thirty (30) days will be considered to	
Status / /			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/16/63	t and 11/4/0	2	
☐ This action is FINAL.			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed	Lin 1 y 1 1
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-8		io/ara panding in the analysis	
Of the above claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)			leration.
Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-8		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			
Application Papers		are subject to restriction or e requirement.	lection
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	na Review, PTO-948		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [	□ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	cted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(	d).	
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received.</li> </ul>	the priority documents ha	ve been	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)		
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT R	ule 1 7.2(a))	
Attachment(s)		•	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	erview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Application,	PTO-150
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		her	
	Action Summary		
Patent and Trademark Office	- Addon Summary		

U. S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angona in view of Hayashi or Sakamoto.

Angona teaches a piezoelectric multi layer laminated bender producing acoustic waves. The laminated body is encapsulated in a potting compound (resin). Angona does not explicitly teach the poling directions for the piezo elements or a specific Youngs Modulus for the resin. However, each of Hayashi (fig. #) and Sakamoto (figs. 1, 2 and 4) teach piezoelectric bimorph benders can be poled in the same direction to produce the desired bending. It has long been held that optimization of a known device (e.g. thru routine experimentation) for a specific application is within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus to select same direction poling and a specific Yangs modulus for the coating would have been within the skill expected of the routineer. The specific electrode lead architecture of claims 6-8 would occur to the skilled artisan as both convenient and necessary depending on where the electrical connections and working surfaces are predetermined.

Further cited of interest are Nakamura, Ogawa, Nightingale, Lange and Okuyama.

MARK O. BUDD PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212